```
Fred Bost
                                 Cross
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 51
1
           Harbor Drive, Hudson, Florida, permanent address:
2
           Headquarters, First Battalion, 505th Headquarters,
3
           Brigade 82nd Airborne, Fort Bragg. Bost stated
          Mitchell was arrested February 19, 1970 at
5
           Fayetteville for improper muffler and on four (4)
6
           additional occasions, all on minor charges.
7
                 Thomas Joseph Rizzo, an associate of
8
           Mazerolle's, home address: Highland, New York, 102nd
           Aviation Company, Fort Bragg. Stephen Lee Forrest,
10
           first Platoon Company C, 27th Engineering Battalion,
11
           Fort Bragg. At the time of the murder, Forrest, a
12
           twenty-year old male, boasted he had knowledge of the
13
           MacDonald murders.
14
                 Richard Michael Fortner, white male, former
15
           address: 10624 Winslow Street, Fayetteville, 1969 --
16
           (Interposing) Okay. Excuse me, Mr. Bost, would you
   Q.
17
           turn the page, please?
18
           This page?
   A.
19
           Yeah.
   Q.
20
          All right.
   A.
21
          Okay. Would you read on January 31st, 1980, the top
   Q.
22
          of the page?
23
           (Reading document) $ "On January 31st, 1980, Bost
   A.
24
           furnished the following information regarding Allen
25
```

Fred Bost Vol. 1, p. 52 1 Cross Patrick Mazerolle and Thomas Joseph Rizzo. There is 2 no arrest record for either Allen Mazerolle or 3 4 Thomas Rizzo in the City/County files at the Cumberland County Law Enforcement Center, yet court 5 records show Mazerolle was arrested three (3) weeks 6 before the MacDonald murders for possession and 7 transportation of drugs. Listed as a twenty-one 8 year old member of the 182nd Aviation Company, Fort 9 Bragg, he and a man named Thomas Joseph Rizzo were 10 charged with possession of five hundred and fifty-11 nine (559) doses of LSD upon being arrested January 12 28th, 1970. The arresting officers were P. E. 13 Beasley and John DeCortes of the Fayetteville Police 14 Department." 15 Would you spell those names for the reporter, please? Q. 16 A. I will, but they're incorrect. 17 Well, it's not my report, Mr. Bost. 18 Q. All right. The arresting officers were P. E. Beasley, Α. 19 that's "P" as in Prince, "E" as in Everett, not 20 Edward, and Beasley, B-e-a-s-1-e-y. 21 Is the name "Edward" wrong there? Excuse me. Q. 22 A. It's P. E. Beasley --23 (Interposing) Okay. Q. 24 -- in here. A. 25

```
Fred Bost
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 53
                                 Cross
1
    Q.
           I see.
2
   A.
           And I didn't want her to get confused. Some people
3
           hear "Everett" and they think it's "Edward".
4
           Okay.
    Q.
5
           John DeCortes is the other name that's here.
   A.
6
           is "John", and the last name is capital "D", like in
7
           delta, small "e", capital "C", o-r-t-e-s. Do you want
8
           me to give the proper name of the individuals?
9
           Why don't you read what's in there?
    Q.
10
   A.
                  "of the Fayetteville Police Department.
11
           Mazerolle was not in cus -- excuse me -- "Mazerolle
12
           was not in custody at the time of the murders.
13
           CBA Bonding Company had bonded him for two thousand
14
           dollars ($2,000.00). A warrant was issued for his
15
           apprehension on March 25, 1970 after he had failed to
16
           appear in Court. He was taken into custody in April,
17
           1970 for an unspecified offense, possibly AWOL, " --
18
           that's A-W-O-L -- "in Cobb County and was held in
19
           jail there in Marietta, Georgia. According to court
20
          records, Georgia authorities released Mazerolle to
21
          military authorities from whom he escaped. He was
22
           located in November, 1970 in the custody of police at
23
           Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.
24
                 In a letter to the Chief of Police at Myrtle
25
```

```
Fred Bost
                                 Cross
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 54
1
2
           Beach, Cumberland County Sheriff W. G. Clark requested
           that Mazerolle be retained -- be detained adding,
3
           'we had ahold on him in another state, but when we
4
           were through with him, they turned him over to the
5
           military and he escaped.' "
6
7
   Q.
           Okay. That's enough. Thank you, Mr. Bost.
                 Let me ask you, with respect to what you've
8
           read, did you provide that information to Mr.
9
           Gunderson?
10
   A.
           Yes and no.
11
           Well, why don't you tell me what you provided?
12
           The information on the individuals is correct as far
   A.
13
           as names, and so forth, are concerned with the
14
           exception of this statement here "the arresting
15
           officers were P. E. Beasley and John DeCortes."
16
   Q.
           Okay.
17
        The actual man was John D. Carter.
18
           Okay. Thank you. Excuse me. Have you finished your
   Q.
19
           answer?
20
           I wasn't finished, no.
   A.
21
          Okay. Go ahead, please.
   Q.
22
          May I go back through this and point out where -
   A.
23
          the things that I did not tell him?
24
          Yeah, please. Please.
   Q.
25
```

```
Fred Bost
                                                 Vol. 1, p. 55
                                 Cross
1
          All right. Now, he's got me saying that I advised
2
         the following are suspects. This is not true. This
3
           information was given to him by me at this interview
4
          that I was speaking of. This interview was, if I
5
          remember the date correctly, was January the 13th,
6
          1982. No, I'm sorry, 1980, right after the trial,
7
          the January following the trial.
8
                 I did not give him any of this information as
9
          suspects. He was asking me if I had picked up any
10
          information on known associates of Helena Stoeckley.
11
          Okay. Let me see if I understand your answer, Mr.
   Q.
12
                 You didn't categorize these people as
13
          subjects --
14
   A.
           (Interposing)
                          I did --
15
          -- or suspects?
   Q.
16
          I did not.
   Α.
17
          But in terms of the information you provided about
   Q.
18
          the individuals, names, military background, whether
19
          they were in jail or not, that's correctly reported?
20
          This is correctly reported to my knowledge at that
21
         time; however, if I could go into that, I would like
22
          to explain how some of this information came about,
23
         came to my -- in my possession, and it will explain
24
          how some discrepancies are in here as far as fact is
25
```

```
Fred Bost
1
                                 Cross
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 56
2
           concerned.
         Well, why don't you tell us what the discrepancies
3
4
         fare?
        Well, a discrepancy is that apparently Allen
5
          Mazerolle was in jail at the time of the murders.
6
          Apparently he was in jail?
7
   Q.
         Apparently he was in jail, yes, sir.
   A.
8
          I see.
   Q.
9
   A.
          I first came by this name during the trial when I
10
          was trying to pick up as much on stories concerning
11
          the trial as possible. I had asked Detective
12
          Beasley or ex-Detective Beasley if he knew of any
13
          names of Helena Stoeckley's associates. And this
14
          was even before Helena had been brought to the trial,
15
          had been found and brought to the trial.
16
                 No, I'm sorry, I have to take that back.
17
          was after she had been to the trial, after she had
18
          testified.
19
          In front of the jury?
   Q.
20
          (Interposing) But I was trying to -- what's that?
   A.
21
          In front of the jury?
   Q.
22
          In front of the jury.
   A.
23
   Q.
          Thank you.
24
          In fact, I think she may have even been released from
25
   Α.
```

Fred Bost

1

2

3

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cross

Vol. 1, p. 57

the trial at that time. .. But I was trying to build up stories, and I asked him if he could give me the names of any people that I could check out to see if I could find out something about them.

Among the names that he gave me was Mazerolle; he gave me the name of Fortner who was involved in other cases, several names. When I went to the Police Station to try and find out -- he told me he had arrested Mazerolle. I went to the Police Station to try and find out something on Mazerolle. no arrest record on Mazerolle; there was no mug shots; there was no fingerprints, and I was a little bit leery about whether the arrest had ever taken place.

I went next door to the courtroom. trial material in the courtroom. When it was brought to me, it was brought to me -- Thomas Rizzo and Mazerolle had been arrested together. Rizzo's packet was brought to me first. I copied that out into my notebook.

when Mazerolle's packet was brought to me. I copied it but and I did not copy when he was released on bail. I did get down the bonding company. In my mind, I had the feeling or had made the assumption -which was a poor thing to do for a reporter, I admit -

```
1
   Fred Bost
                                 Cross
                                                 Vol. 1, p. 58
2
           but having read Rizzo's packet, in my mind they had
          both been bailed out at the same time and & caught
3
4
          just the bonding company. *
          gand that's what you told Mr. Gunderson
5
   Q.
6
         This is what I told Mr. Gunderson, that's correct.
   A.
7
         And that's what's in his report?
          What's that?
8
   A.
           And that's what's in his report?
    Q.
           In his report he has that he was bonded out, but he
   A.
10
           has here that Mazerolle was not in custody at the
11
           time of the murders. He, apparently, was basing
12
           this on my assumption that Mazerolle was not
13
           involved in -- was not in custody at the time of
14
           the murders, yes.
15
           Okay. Let me ask you, Mr. Bost, with respect to
16
           your book negotiations with Helena Stoeckley, what
17
18
           ultimately happened with them?
19
           Again, sir? I'm sorry, I --
    A.
           (Interposing) Let me rephrase the question.
20
    Q.
          Yes, sir.
21
    A.
         With respect to Helena Stoeckley, I gather from one
22
         point in your testimony that there was an arrangement
23
          between you and Helena and Prince Beasley to write a
24
           book, for you to write a book with their help; is
25
```

1	Fred B	ost Cross Vol. 1, p. 59
2		that right?
3	A.	I wish there had been, sir. I tried for almost a
4		year to make such an arrangement.
5	Q.	With Helena Stoeckley?
6	A.	With Helena Stoeckley. I tried from the time that I
7		first well, in fact, this February 6th interview,
8		I was going down there with the express purpose of
9		trying to talk her into such a book project. She
10		was willing to talk to me; she answered all my
11		questions for three hours, but she did not want to
12		go into a contract. I was trying to offer her what
13		I felt was a very lucrative agreement.
14		She did not want to go into a contract. She
15		said she wanted to think about it. For a year until,
16		oh, the following January I had been trying I
17		wasn't in contact with her personally but through
18		Mr. Beasley I was trying to get her to cooperate
19		with me.
20		She said that she did not want publicity; she
21		said that the money you offer was fine, but she
22		thought it would be misinterpreted. She would not
23		cooperate with me.
24	Q.	How much money did you offer her, Mr. Bost?
25	A.	I offered her well, I didn't offer her any money.
		-

1 Fred Bost Cross Vol. 1, p. 60 I offered her twenty percent (20%) of whatever we 2 could make out of the book after all expenses were 3 E paid. 4 How about Mr. Beasley, what was his cut? 5 Awenty percent (20%) of what we could make out of 6 A. the book if the book was ever published. 7 And did there come a time when Helena Stoeckley Q. 8 indicated to you in some form that she wanted out 9 of the whole project and she was mad at Gunderson 10 and basically did not want to go forward? Did that 11 ever occur? 12 That she was mad at Gunderson --A. 13 (Interposing) She was angry at Gunderson for Q. 14 whatever reason? 15 No. Let me -- if I could take you through the A. 16 chronology of it, you'll see that I never had a 17 chance to talk to her about being mad at Gunderson, 18 or anything. 19 Let me ask you this: did Helena Stoeckley ever 20 advise you that she felt Mr. Gunderson had been 21 harassing her? 22 No, sir. The only two times that I ever met Helena 23 Stoeckley, the only two times that I ever spoke to 24 her face-to-face was at these two meetings on 25

```
Vol. 1, p. 61
   Fred Bost
                                 Cross
1
           January the 2nd and on February the 6th.
2
           Okay. Thank you. Just a second, Mr. Bost.
   Q.
3
                 MR. MURTAGH: I wonder -- I'm going to show
4
           this to counsel -- if I could have this marked as
          Government's Eighteen (18) for identification. If
6
           Your Honor will indulge us.
7
8
                  (GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBIT 18,
Q
                   MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
10
11
           (Mr. Murtagh) Did you ever write Helena a letter,
   Q.
12
           Mr. Bost?
13
           I wrote her two letters. I wrote her one on
   A.
14
           September the 24th of 1981 --
15
           (Interposing) Unh-hunh.
   Q.
16
           -- and one on January the 22nd of 1982.
   A.
17
           All right. Let me show you this one marked for
   Q.
18
           identification as Government's Number Eighteen (18)
19
           and ask if you recognize that? (Counsel hands same
20
           to witness who peruses same.)
21
           Yes, sir.
   A.
22
           Could you tell us what it is, please?
   Q.
23
           This is a letter I wrote to her on September 24, 1981.
24
           And does your signature appear at the bottom?
    Q.
25
```

Fred Bost

Cross

Vol. 1, p. 62

- A. That's my signature, yes.
- Q. And would you read the letter, please?
- A. Sure. (Reading document) "Dear Helena, Beasley called me yesterday and told me he had been contacted by Ted Gunderson who had told you -- who had told him you had gone to the FBI with complaints that Gunderson had been harassing you. It leaves me wondering what to do about the book I'm putting together.

After you failed to keep in contact with him,
Beasley took a trip down your way about a week ago
to deliver your copy of the book outline. He
couldn't find you and he was stalled by your
neighbors. I'm a little leery about going on with
the project if what's happening with Gunderson means
that you're changing your mind. Was Gunderson
telling the truth when he told Beasley he had gone
to the FBI? Does that mean you've changed your
mind about cooperating in the book venture?

Legally, of course, you are bound by our agreement through the contract you signed. But, as you recall, at the time I suggested the book idea to you, I told you if you ever decided you wanted to bow out for something better, I wouldn't stand in your way.

Fred Bost

Cross

Vol. 1, p. 63

That still holds. I was hoping by now that you would have read my outline and realized that I'm telling the story exactly as you described it." I would like to repeat that sentence, please. "I was hoping by now that you would have read my outline and realized that I'm telling the story exactly as you described it. But since you failed to stay in contact with Beasley, he feels it wouldn't be smart to trust the outline in the mails without assurance it would reach you and you alone.

As I've told you, I want to do this book in a way that lets readers understand your thoughts and motivations, the problems you faced and the night-mares you dreamed, but I can do that only with your cooperation.

If this business with Gunderson means you want out, I have nothing left except to rewrite and treat your role strictly objectively from an outsider's viewpoint. I don't want to do that, but it will be my only solution.

So, please, Helena, before I get too deep in the first draft skeleton, write me a letter and tell me what's happening. Fre you still with us? If you want out, I'll wish you the best of everything and

```
Fred Bost
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 64
1
                                  Cross
           I'll be keeping my fingers crossed that everything
2
           works well for you in your new direction. But if
3
           you don't want out, then let's get cracking. Okay,
4
           gal? Always, Fred."
5
                 MR. MURTAGH: No further questions, Your Honor.
                 MR. O'NEILL: I have no redirect, Your Honor.
7
                 THE COURT: Call your next witness.
                 (WITNESS EXCUSED: 11:09 A.M.)
9
                 MR. O'NEILL: Your Honor, our next witness is
10
           Carlos Torres.
11
12
           Whereupon,
13
14
                         CARLOS E. TORRES,
15
                 Being first duly sworn, was
16
                 examined and testified as
17
                 follows:
18
19
   DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL:
20
           Good morning, Mr. Torres.
   Q.
21
           Good morning, sir.
   A.
22
           Could you tell the Court where you live and what
   Q.
23
           your job is?
24
           At this time I live in 7743 Calhoun Street, Hope
25
   A.
```

```
Vol. 1, p. 65
                                 Direct
   Carlos Torres
1
           Mills, North Carolina. My job is a golf course
2
           superintendent in the U. S. Air Force, Pope Air
3
          Force.
           Let me take you back to February of 1970.
   Q.
5
          were you working then?
6
          In February, 1977 I was in --
   A.
7
                          1970.
           (Interposing)
    Q.
8
           I mean, 1970 I was in the service, and I worked as
    A.
9
           a part-time in the NCO Club, Fort Bragg, North
10
           Carolina.
11
           Whereabouts is that located at Fort Bragg?
    Q.
12
           That's located on Raleigh Road, the number I don't
    A.
13
           know.
14
           What kind of job did you have there at the NCO Club?
    Q.
15
           I usually have two, three job. I work as a part-time
16
           bartender. I work as a part-time food service
17
           supervisor.
18
           Let me direct your attention to the evening of
    Q.
19
           February 16th and the early morning of February 17,
20
           1970. Were you working at the NCO Club that night?
21
           I don't recall exactly if I was working or not was
22
           working, but I was in NCO Club at that time.
23
           Okay. Do you know what time, approximately what
    Q.
24
           time you left the NCO Club that night?
25
```

1 Carlos Torres Direct Vol. 1, p. 66 I left the NCO Club approximately about two o'clock 2 3 A(2:00) in the morning, Where were you living then? Q. I live in Spring Lake, North Carolina. 5 6 And did you have your car, did you drive home to and Q. 7 from the NCO Club? A. To and from the NCO Club. Now, when you left about two o'clock (2:00) that 9 Q. night, did you get your car and drive on home? 10 I gets down to the Club and talked to some of the A. 11 gals, and this and that, and then I approached to my 12 house. 13 How did -- what route do you -- or did you take home Q. 14 that night from the NCO Club to your house? 15 I usually take the Raleigh Road a short distance, A. 16 about three hundred (300) yards, and then take 17 18 Honeycutt to Highway 87, turn left on Highway 87, to directions of the -- my house. 19 Q. Now, there is a stoplight, isn't there, at the corner 20 of 87, Highway 87, and Honeycutt Road? 21 Yes, sir. 22 A. And was that stoplight working that night? Q. 23 Yes, sir. 24 A. 25 Q. And was it red when you got to it?

1	Carlos	Torres Dir	rect	Vol. 1, p. 67
2	A.	It was red.		
3	Q.	When you're on Honeycutt	and you want	to go home to
4		Spring Lake, which way do	you turn on	Highway 87?
- 5	Α.	I turn left.	, .*	
6	Q.	Does Highway 87 have any	other name?	
7	A	Bragg Boulevard.	•	
8	Q.	Bragg Boulevard?	•	
9	A.	Yes, sir.	•	
10	Q.	And did you stop at that	light that ni	ght?
11	A.	I stopped because it was	red.	
12	Q.	Did you notice anything	unusual that e	evening that
13		you hadn't noticed on other	her evenings v	then you stopped
14		at that light?		
15	A.	No. I when I come at	that time it	's no traffic
16		but I observed on my lef	t that it was	some a
17		vehicle parked.	•	
18	Ω.	A vehicle parked alongsi	de the road?	
19	A.	Alongside the road, yes.		
20	Ω.	How far would you estima	te that vehic	le was when you
21	Ņ	were stopped there?		
22	A. /	Approximate seventy-five	(75) yards.	
23	Ω.	Did your course on th	e way home can	sed you to go
24	,	left on Bragg Boulevard,	didn't it?	
25	A.	Yes, sir.		
	1			

```
Carlos Torres
                                 Direct
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 68
1
           And when you went by Bragg -- when you were on Bragg
           Boulevard, you went past this vehicle, didn't you?
3
4
   A.
           I went past the vehicle.
          Could you describe your recollection of what that
5
          vehicle looked like?
6
          The things that it make me look at the vehicle
   A.
7
          because prior to that I have one vehicle just like
8
           it.
9
          Just like it?
   Q.
10
          Unh-hunh (yes). And I observe it over there and I
11
           figured out -- well, I slowed down and figured out
12
           they have trouble with the tire or something, but it
13
          wasn't. But I see some people over there. So, I
14
          keep a slow, slow, slow, continued looking at them,
15
           I don't see any tire flat or nothing, so I continue
16
          going home.
17
   Q.
          Could you describe the vehicle, what it looked like?
18
          The vehicle was -- the year I couldn't tell you
19
          because it looked like between 62 to 64 Volkswagen
20
         stationwagen.
21
          Was it dark colored or light colored?
   Q.
22
          It was -- it was blue.
   A.
23
          And was there any light on?
   Q.
24
          The inside light was on.
   A.
25
```

```
Carlos Torres
                                  Direct
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 69
1
           Did you see any people either in that -- is that
2
           what they call a Volkswagen van?
, 3
        A Volkswagen van, yes, sir.
    A.
4
           Did you see anybody either in the van or going to get
5
           in the van?
6
          Well, apparently, it was one person inside the wan,
7
           but with the kind of darkness and the weather,
8
           you can't -- but it was a person; there was a shadow
9
           in there. And it was three (3) other person, one in
10
           the front of the van and two other person walking to
11
          the van, to the --
12
   Q.
           (Interposing) Where were they walking from?
13
           They walking, apparently, from that area, whatever
14
           it is.
15
           Were there woods out of which they were walking?
   Q.
16
           Yes, sir, it's a line of trees, because at that time
   A.
17
           there was more trees.
18
   Q.
           And these folks you saw, how many were there that
19
           you saw walking out of the line of trees?
20
           I saw one standing, apparently, right side of the
21
           vehicle in front and two (2) walking to it, more or
22
           less kind of running, rushing, you know.
23
          And could you give a description of any of those
   Q.
24
          people?
25
```

```
Vol. 1, p. 70
1
   Carlos Torres
                                 Direct
           It would be kind of hard for me to give a description
2
3
         , at that distance. I was - when I come to the - by
          the side of the car, I was an average of thirty (30)
4
         Yards, but I know -- I noticed it was one kind of
5
          Tafro hair, whether it was Black or Indian, so why
6
7
          with the afro I had no idea. And it was one with
           stringy hair, brownish looking -- I can say it was
8
           blond or brownish and one other really short haircut.
9
   Q.
           Like a military haircut?
10
           Well, apparently, like a military haircut.
   A.
11
   Q.
           And then you drove on your way?
12
   A.
           I drove on the way really slow and observing and
13
           see if something develop, but since nothing developed
14
           I just keep going.
15
                 MR. O'NEILL: I have no further questions, Your
16
           Honor.
17
18
19
   CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MURTAGH:
20
           Mr. Torres, let me ask you, with respect to the NCO
   Q.
21
           Club, you worked there, right?
22
           Yes, sir.
   A.
23
           Okay. By the way, what grade were you in the Army?
   Q.
24
           I was a S ergeant F irst C lass, E-7.
25
```

```
Carlos Torres
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 71
                                 Cross
1
           E-7, okay. And as an E-7 you would also frequent the
2
           NCO Club, is that right?
3
           I patronized with the Club --
    A.
4
           (Interposing) Yeah.
    Q.
5
           -- let's put it this way, yes.
6
           Yeah. And, if I understand your testimony on direct,
7
           you can't recall whether you were working there that
8
           night or you were in there as a patron?
9
           Well, I don't recall that night whether I was
    A.
10
           working, but since I was an employee, we usually --
11
           the employees stayed to closing -- the Club close
12
           at nine o'clock, but then the people counted the
13
           money and closed the club. And we usually -- the
14
           employees stay more or less until when everybody
15
           leave.
16
           Right. But -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- you
    Q.
17
           might have been working and you might have been a
18
           patron of the club?
19
           I could be, yes.
    A.
20
           Okay. And what would you have done if you had been
21
           a patron of the club? I mean, what did you normally
22
           do?
23
         I usually wait for the girls to get out of the club.
24
         Well, that can be a long wait, can't it?
25
```

- 1 Carlos Torres Cross Vol. 1, p. 72
- 2 A. Oh, yes, sometimes.
- 3 Q. Okay. How would you occupy your time while you were
- 4 waiting for the girls to get out of the club?
- 5 A. Playing cards, playing pool.
- 6 Q. Having a beer or two?
- 7 A. Sometimes I have a beer or two. I don't drink beer,
- but I drink a shot of scotch once in a while.
- 9 Q. Scotch?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Fine. Okay. Now, Mr. Torres, let me ask you, you
- were also on Fort Bragg on the 17th of February, 1970,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Yes, I sure did.
- 15 Q. It was sort of a memorable day, wasn't it?
- 16 A. Very memorable, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, did you tell anybody about this --
- 18 A. (Interposing) No, sir.
- 19 Q. -- van at that time?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Okay. Let me ask you: how did it come about that
- 22 this information came to the defense's attention?
- 23 A. Go back to about two years, I went to a car dealer
- to purchase a car. And I was watching T.V. and this
- case of this particular doctor come on T.V. One of

1 Carlos Torres Vol. 1, p. 73 Cross the salesman told me -- we start discussing and I 2 said, I just don't know because that particular day 3 I saw this van there, you know. And he said, well, 4 why don't you turn it in? Well, I told him the 5 reason I didn't turn it in, I just got -- arrived 6 from Vietnam recently and I'm getting separation ... 7 from my wife. And being in Vietnam, nervous in 8 combat, and then coming back and then the wife 9 divorced, and this and that, I wasn't in a condition 10 to reveal this and get any more nervous and 11 attention. So, I just keep my mouth shut. 12 Was it sort of a bad time for you in 1970? Q. 13 Very bad, yes. 14 A. Q. Okay. 15 I'm the father of ten kids and I had to work day and Α. 16 night to support them. And I didn't want to get 17 involved -- get involved in anything that I have to 18 lose the job and make the extra dollar for my kids. 19 Okay. Mr. Torres, is it fair to say that, say, Q. 20 between 16th, 1970 and your encounter with the car 21 dealer two years ago -- so, that would be, what, 22 1982 --23 (Interposing) 1982. A. 24 -- would it be accurate to say that you sort of put Q. 25

25

1 Carlos Torres Cross Vol. 1, p. 74 2 this whole thing out of your mind? 3 A. I don't believe I can put it out of my mind. 4 has been on my mind for the last fourteen (14) years. Q. Okay. Do you read the Fayetteville papers? 5 6 A. Once in a while. Once in a while. Were you in Fayetteville in July 7 Q. and August, 1979? 8 A. July and August, 1979? 9 Yeah. Q. 10 Yes, I was in Fayetteville. 11 Did you read about the trial of this case? Q. 12 I read it and listen on the news. A. 13 Okay. Mr. Torres, counsel didn't show you any Q. 14 pictures, did he, when you were testifying, just now? 15 No, sir. A. 16 ¿Okay. Were you ever shown any pictures by --Q. 17 A. (Interposing) I have two times been shown the pictures. 19 I'm sorry? Q. 20 Two times before. A. 21 Okay. Tell us about the first time. Q. 22 The first time was some gentleman -- I don't know 23 if he's in Court or not -- and I told him it would 24 be impossible for me to recognize those. And, then,

1	Carlos	Torres Cross Vol. 1, p. 75
2		this year
3	Q.	(Interposing) I'm sorry. Say that again. In other
4		words, this first gentleman was
5	A.	(Interposing) The first gentleman, he told me
6	Q.	(Interposing) he an FBI agent?
7	A.	I don't know if he's FBI, investigator, or whatever
8		it is.
9	Q.	Are you familiar with the name Shedlick, Raymond
10		Shedlick?
11	A.	Yes, sir. I believe that's the gentleman.
12	Q.	That's the man?
13	A.	Yes, sir.
14	Q.	Okay. I'm sorry. Continue.
15	A.	And then this year, I don't recall whether it was
16		in June or May, I believe it was two FBI came in
17		with the same pictures.
18	Ω.	Unh-hunh.
19	A.	And I make the same statement, that it's hard to
20		recognize, but I saw similar pictures.
21	Ω.	But you can't say for certain?
22	A.	No. I can't say for certain, because this is
2 3	10	fourteen (14) years.
24	Q.	Thank you.
25		MR. MURTAGH: No further questions, Mr. Torres.
	-	

```
Colloguy
                                                Vol. 1, p. 76
   Carlos Torres
1
                MR. O'NEILL: I have no redirect, Your Honor.
2
                THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness.
3
                (WITNESS EXCUSED: 11:21 A.M.)
                MR. O'NEILL: One moment, Your Honor. Your
5
          Honor, our next witness is Mr. Malloy, Archie
6
          Malloy.
7
                MR. MURTAGH: Your Honor, I wonder if, in the
8
          interest of time, if Mr. Malloy is going to say
9
          anything different than he said in his declaration?
10
                MR. O'NEILL: No, he's not, Your Honor. He's
11
          going to -- it is in the declaration, though, but if
12
          we can assume he's -- I will be willing to stipulate
13
          that he will. He was one of these ones that an
14
          investigator said, Mr. Malloy will testify as follows,
15
          and I will stipulate that he will so testify.
16
                MR. MURTAGH: Fine.
17
                MR. O'NEILL: Okay.
18
                THE COURT: All right. Does that --
19
                MR. MURTAGH: (Interposing) I don't see any --
20
                THE COURT: -- obviate the necessity of his
21
         testifying?
22
                MR. O'NEILL: It will, Your Honor. Thank you.
23
                THE COURT: All right. What's his name?
24
                MR. O'NEILL: Archie Malloy. Your Honor, we
25
```

Colloquy

Vol. 1, p. 77

have no further witnesses. I believe the Government may, but if they don't, we're prepare to argue it.

MR. MURTAGH: Your Honor, Mr. Gunderson and Mr. Beasley are not the Government's witnesses, although we do have them here under subpoena. I think it's the defendant's obligations as the moving party with the burden of proof to put before the Court all of the alleged statements supposedly made by Helena Stoeckley, which they have not done to this day, so that the Court can rule on them intelligently.

And I see no reason why the Government should have to authenticate what they contest may, in fact, be Helena Stoeckley's statements by putting Mr. Gunderson and Mr. Beasley on the stand. I think that's Mr. O'Neill's responsibility.

THE COURT: Well, the burden of the motion, I suppose, is on the defendant, and if the defendant is content with his case, then what's the Government's complaint?

MR. MURTAGH: Well, the Government's complaint,
Your Honor, and I suppose there's no way around this,
is that the record will be incomplete because Mr.
O'Neill is relying on a two or three page declaration

Colloguy

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Vol. 1, p. 78

of Mr. Gunderson and about the same for Mr. Beasley as to Stoeckley statements.

Now, we understand that these statements, some of them run fifty-five (55) pages long, and were tape recorded are the best evidence of what they claim Ms. Stoeck- --

THE COURT: (Interposing) Maybe I misunderstood counsel, but I thought he had rested his case.

MR. MURTAGH: Well --

THE COURT: (Interposing) And the only thing before me this morning is three witnesses and a statement that -- a stipulation that another one's testimony can be considered as if he had testified in person, it being now in the form of an investigator's report.

MR. MURTAGH: Unh-hunh. Well, Your Honor, I've no interest to prolong this, but I feel constrained in order to develop the record to call Mr. Beasley to the stand.

THE COURT: Well, it's almost time for our morning recess and, perhaps, a sidebar conference might clear up a little for you and for the Court. Why don't we take a recess until eleven forty-five (11:45), and will somebody, please, check the --

```
Vol. 1, p. 79
   Colloquy
          what have you got?
2
                MR. CURRIN: I have eleven twenty-five (11:25).
3
                THE COURT: Well, I must be just slow. All
4
          right. Eleven forty-five (11:45) we'll come back.
5
6
                  (SHORT RECESS: 11:25 - 11:45.)
7
8
                 THE COURT: Will there be further evidence for_
9
          the defendant?
10
                 MR. O'NEILL: There will, Your Honor. Thank you.
11
                 THE COURT: All right, sir.
12
                 MR. O'NEILL: Your Honor, our next witness is
13
          Ted L. Gunderson.
14
                 THE COURT: All right, sir.
15
          Whereupon,
16
17
                         TED L. GUNDERSON,
18
                 Being first duly sworn, was
19
                 examined and testified as
20
                 follows:
21
22
   DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. O'NEILL:
23
                 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I need just a few
24
          minutes here. I've got a lot of work here that --
25
```

Ted Gunderson Direct Vol. 1, p. 80 records that they --

THE COURT: (Interposing) All right.

MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Gunderson, why don't you just take the stand and we'll get started and, perhaps, we can -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. I didn't mean to interrupt your conversation with Mr. Gunderson.

THE COURT: Well, he just said he needed a little time to organize his material, and I was going to give it to him.

MR. O'NEILL: Oh, very well. Very well. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: All right, sir. Thank you.

MR. MURTAGH: Your Honor, if I may ask, if the material Mr. Gunderson is organizing has been made available through counsel to the Government?

MR. O'NEILL: Your Honor, it has. Copies of a number of statements have been made available, which are written statements; that covers the part which we've made available. The other part which has not been available are a series of tape recording con—tape recorded conversations which Mr. Gunderson will authenticate and will represent to the Court, through his testimony, are accurate and correct tape

1	Teđ Gu	underson Direct Vol. 1, p. 81
2		recordings of those interview sessions from which
3		the written statements were derived.
4		THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
·	Q.	· ·
5	u.	(Mr. O'Neill) Mr. Gunderson, could you tell the
6	•	Court where you presently reside and what your
7	_	present business is?
8	A.	I'm a private investigator, Los Angeles, California.
9		I presently reside in Santa Monica, California.
10	Q.	Did you have some employment prior to entering into
11		the profession of private investigation?
12	A.	I was with the FBI for twenty-seven (27) years.
13	Ω.	What was the highest office to which you what was
14		the highest office you achieved at the FBI?
15	A.	I was at the time of my retirement, March, 1979,
16		I was in charge of the FBI Los Angeles Bureau in
17		Los Angeles, California which covers most of southern
18		California.
19	Ω.	Had you run any other FBI offices prior to running
20		the FBI Los Angeles office?
21	A	I was in charge of the Dallas Bureau before that and
22		the Memphis Bureau before that.
23	ο.	Sometime in late 1979 or late 1980, did you begin work
24		on investigation into the circumstances of the
25		MacDonald murders?

		·
1	Ted Gu	nderson Direct Vol. 1, p. 82
2	A.	I did.
3	Q.	And in the course of that investigation did you come
4		to meet and know a witness named Helena Stoeckley?
5	A.	I did.
6	Q	During the course of your investigation, did you
7		have occasion to interview Ms. Stoeckley on more than
8		one occasion?
9	A.	I did.
10	Q.	And did you prepare written statements or did Ms.
11		Stoeckley prepare written statements at the
12		conclusion of those interviews?
13	A.	We both did.
14		MR. MURTAGH: Objection, Your Honor. It's a
15		compound question. My objection is, is the question
16		did Ms. Stoeckley prepare the statements or did Mr.
17		Gunderson prepare the statements?
18	:	THE COURT: Well, I understood him to say that
19		she prepared the statements. Now, straighten us out
20		if need be.
21	Α.	Yes, Your Ronor. Actually, she gave me the
22	e.	information in some instances, I reduced it to writing
23		In other instances, she prepared her own statement
24		and we also I also tape recorded a number of
25		statements.

```
Ted Gunderson
                                  Direct
                                                   Vol. 1, p. 83
1
           Do you have all of those written statements, whether
2
           prepared by her or prepared by you and signed by her,
3
           with you here today?
4
       ral do.
5
6
                 MR. O'NEILL: May I approach the witness, Your
           Honor?
7
                 THE COURT:
                              (Nods affirmatively.)
8
                 (Witness hands counsel documents.)
9
10
                   (DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 2- 13,
11
                   MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
12
13
           (Mr. O'Neill) Mr. Gunderson, I'm showing you a
   Q.
14
           document marked Defendant's Exhibit Number 2 which
15
           bears the date at the top 10-25-80 and ask you to
16
           examine it. (Counsel hands same to witness who
17
           peruses same.)
18
                 Have you seen that document before?
19
           I have.
   A.
20
          Is that the original of a statement which you took
   Q.
21
           from Helena Stoeckley on that date?
22
   A.
           It is.
23
           And did Ms. Stoeckley sign that in your presence?
   Q.
24
25
   A.
           Yes, she did.
```

```
Ted Gunderson
                                  Direct
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 84
 1
           I'll show you a document marked Exhibit Number 3
 2
           contained in an envelope, and if you'll take the
 3
           document out and take a look at it, and ask you if
 4
           you can identify it? (Counsel hands exhibit to
 5
           witness who peruses same.)
 6
                 Do you recognize that document?
 7
           I do.
    A.
 8
           Is that a document -- is that document a statement
    Q.
 9
           which was taken from Helena Davis, Helena Stoeckley
10
           Davis, on that date?
11
           It is.
    A.
12
           And, by the way, is she called sometimes Helena
    Q.
13
           Stoeckley Davis and sometimes Helena Stoeckley?
14
    A.
           Right. And also sometimes Foster. She used the name
15
           Foster.
16
                 THE COURT: What's the date of that one?
17
                 MR. O'NEILL: October 24, Your Honor.
18
                 THE COURT: What year?
19
                 MR. O'NEILL:
                               1980.
20
                 THE COURT: The day before the first one?
21
                 MR. O'NEILL: It was, Your Honor. We got out
22
        of order when we started.
23
                 MR. MURTAGH: Your Honor, if I may ask, if the
24
           statement is typewritten or handwritten, could counsel
25
```

1 Ted Gunderson Direct Vol. 1, p. 85 2 so indicate? MR. O'NEILL: I will. Exhibit number two (2) is typewritten. Exhibit number three (3) is typewritten. 5 6 MR. MURTAGH: And that's 10-24-85 -- or '80? 7 MR. O'NEILL: **'80.** 8 (Mr. O'Neill) Mr. Gunderson, I'm handing you a 9 document marked Defendant's Exhibit Number four (4) 10 which is dated October 24, 1980. It's a handwritten multi-page statement. And ask you if you can identify 11 it? (Counsel hands same to witness who peruses same.) 12 This is my handwriting. I took the -- I took this 13 statement myself. Helena read it, signed it; it's 14 also dated, as you said, on the 24th of 1980. 15 16 Q. Okay. There's another statement in this envelope. There's 17 18 another statement in this envelope by mistake. 19 MR. O'NEILL: I'd better show counsel. same to Mr. Murtagh.) There was another statement 20 in that envelope? 21 22 It's the same date as this. It could possibly be the same statement. 23 24 MR. MURTAGH: Your Honor, I'm confused. thought Defendant's Exhibit Number two (2) was a 25

1	Teđ	Gunderson Direct Vol. 1, p. 86
2		type written statement of 10-25-80. Is that different
3		from this 10-25-80 statement?
4	A.	Let's look at it and compare it.
<u>,</u> 5		MR. MURTAGH: This appears to be an original.
6		MR. O'NEILL: That's the original and this is
7	· .	a copy.
8		MR. MURTAGH: Okay. Which one are you
9		introducing?
10		MR. O'NEILL: I will introduce the copy have
11		her mark it.
12		THE WITNESS: Is it the same statement? I'm
13		sure it's the same statement.
14		MR. O'NEILL: Exhibit Your Honor, do you
15		know what exhibit we're on?
16		THE COURT: Well, you've introduced two you
17		have identified two, three and four.
18		MR. O'NEILL: Thank you very much.
19	Ω.	(Mr. O'Neill) Mr. Gunderson, do you have Exhibit
20		five (5) before you?
21	Α.	No, I don't.
22	Ω.	I'll show you Exhibit Number Six (6) and ask you to
23		examine it and see if you can identify it? (Counsel
24		hands same to witness who peruses same.)
25	A.	It's a handwritten statement in my handwriting dated
	1	

25

Q.

Vol. 1, p. 87 1 Ted Gunderson Direct 2 December 4, 1980. Was that taken from Helena Stoeckley by you? 3 Q. A. It was. With respect to each of these statements that you've 5 Q. 6 identified so far, were these writings prepared 7 during or at the conclusion of interviews of Ms. 8 Stoeckley during those dates? During the interview. A. Here's Exhibit Number five (5). Would you take a 10 Q. look at Exhibit Number five (5), and I'll ask you if 11 you can identify it? (Counsel hands same to witness 12 who peruses same.) 13 It's a handwritten statement, it's dated October 2, 14 1980, signed by Ernest Davis. It's in my hand-15 writing. 16 17 Now, who is Ernest Davis? Q. Ernest Davis is -- was Helena Davis' husband. 18 A. I'm handing you a document marked Exhibit Seven (7). 19 Q. Would you examine it and identify it? (Counsel hands 20 same to witness who peruses same.) 21 This is a handwritten document, a signed statement 22 from Helena. It's in her handwriting, and it's dated 23 December 8, 1980. 24

Was that a statement taken by you?

1	Ted Gunderson		Direct	Vol. 1, p. 88			
2	A.	It is.	•				
3	Q.	And did you witness it?					
4	A.	I did.					
5	Q.	And does your initials or signature appear on it?					
6	A.	It does.					
7	Q.	And it was taken on that day?					
8	A.	It was taken on that day.					
9	Q.	I'm handing you a document marked Number Eight (8)					
10		and ask you to take a	look at it and	see if you can			
11	identify it. (Counsel hands same to witness who						
12		peruses same.)	•				
13	A.	It's a typed statemen	t dated December	6th, 1980; it's			
14		signed by Helena, fif	ty-three (53) pa	ges long.			
15	Q.	I'm handing you Exhib	it 9; could you	identify it,			
16		please? (Counsel ha	nds same to with	ess who peruses			
17		same.)	•				
18	A.	Exhibit Nine (9) is a	one-page statem	ent dated May			
19		20, 1982. It's in He	lena Stoeckley's	own handwriting.			
20	Ω.	Did you take that sta	tement?				
21	λ.	I did.	•				
22	Ω.	Do your initials appe	ar on it?				
23	A	They do.	•				
24	Ω.	Is that a statement t	aken on that dat	e?			
25	A.	It is.					

```
Ted Gunderson
                                  Direct
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 89
1
2
           I'm handing you a document marked Exhibit 10. Would
           you examine and identify that, please?
                                                    (Counsel hands
3
           same to witness who peruses same.)
           This is a typed statement dated May 24, 1982 signed
5
6
           by Helena. The last two pages of this statement are
           in Helena's own handwriting. I took this statement;
7
           I signed it also, witnessed it.
8
           On the date that it bears?
    Q.
9
           I did.
10
           I'm handing you document Number Eleven (11). Please
11
           examine and identify it. (Counsel hands same to
12
           witness who peruses same.)
13
           It's a typed statement dated May 24, 1982, three (3)
    A.
14
           pages long, signed by Helena Davis. It was taken by
15
           me.
16
           On that date?
17
           On that date.
18
           And Exhibit Number twelve (12)? (Counsel hands same
19
           to witness who peruses same.)
20
           Exhibit Number twelve (12) is a typed statement --
21
           excuse me -- a typed statement dated May 24, 1982,
22
           thirty-nine (39) pages long, signed by Helena,
23
           taken by me and signed on that same date.
24
           Did you participate in any other interviews of Ms.
25
```

```
Case 3:75-cr-00026-F Document 136-4 Filed 04/17/2006 Page 40 of 50
1
    Ted Gunderson
                                  Direct
                                                   Vol. 1, p. 90
           Stoeckley after the date of 5-24-82?
2
           I did.
3
    A.
          Did you prepare a written statement from that and
4
          finterview?
5
           a did not.
    A.
6
           Was there a written transcript prepared of that
    Q.
7
          interview?
8
    A.
           There was.
9
           Do you have that written transcript here?
    Q.
10
           I do.
    A.
11
           Could you please produce it?
    Q.
12
           I'll have to break this book down.
    A.
13
           Would you take a look at Exhibit Number Thirteen (13)
    Q.
14
           and identify that, please?
15
           This is a transcript of an interview that I arranged
    Α.
16
           of Helena Stoeckley with Sixty Minutes, the CBS T.V.
17
           show.
18
           And did you conduct that interview?
    Q.
19
           I did.
    A.
20
           And was it transcribed?
    Q.
21
    A.
           It was.
22
           And did you review the transcript for accuracy?
    Q.
23
    A.
           I did.
24
           And does it accurately reflect the interview which
    Q.
25
```

Ted Gunderson Vol. 1, p. 91 1 Direct occurred at that time? 2 It does. A. 3 Now, these documents, Agent Gunderson -- Mr. Gunderson, do they reflect the -- do these documents 5 reflect all of the written statements that were prepared by you or at your direction or with your assistance from interviews you conducted of Helena Stoeckley? 9 All the, what I would say formal interviews. She Α. 10 also wrote me a letter, a copy of which I have in 11 front of me, that I think is pertinent. I don't 12 have the original, I'm sorry. I do have a copy of 13 it, though. But I did show this to the FBI in 14 December, '81. 15 16 (DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 14, 17 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 18 19 (Mr. O'Neill) Could you identify Exhibit Fourteen 20 Q. (14), please? 21 It is a handwritten letter from Helena to me dated 22 July 30, 1981 signed "Sincerely, Mrs. Helena Davis". 23 Mr. Gunderson, these interviews which you conducted 24 of Ms. Stoeckley stretched over a period of how long? 25

Ted Gunderson Direct Vol. 1, p. 92 1 The first interview was October 24th, 1980 and the 2 3 last interview was May 27th, 1982. And when those written statements were prepared, they were prepared at or about the time of those 5 interviews, weren't they? 6 They were prepared precisely at the time of the 7 dinterview. 8 Okay. And based upon those interviews and based 9 upon the memoranda which were prepared following 10 them, you conducted your investigation, is that 11 correct? 12 To a degree, yes. I had conducted an investigation A. 13 prior to taking the signed statements from her, but 14 I -- yes, I'd have to say yes. 15 Okay. You have prepared yourself, have you not, an 16 affidavit or declaration which was submitted to this 17 Court in connection with this motion for a new trial, 18 haven't you? 19 I have. 20 And was the information which you reported in that 21 affidavit or declaration information which you 22 derived from your interviews with Helena Stoeckley? 23 It was. A. 24 MR. O'NEILL: Your Honor, at this point, I would 25

```
Ted Gunderson
                                  Direct
1
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 93
           move the admission of Exhibits Two (2) through
2
           Thirteen (13), those interview memoranda which Mr.
3
           Gunderson has just identified.
                 THE COURT: Very well. They'll be admitted.
5
    Q.
           (Mr. O'Neill) Mr. Gunderson, during the course of
6
           your investigation and during the course of your
7
           interviews, you made some tape recordings, did you
8
           not?
9
   A.
           I did.
10
    Q.
           Did you make one or more than one of those tape
11
           recordings?
12
   A.
           I made as many interviews on -- conducted as many
13
           interviews on tape as Helena would allow me to.
14
    Q.
           Okay.
15
                 MR. O'NEILL: May I approach the witness, Your
16
           Honor?
17
                 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
18
                 (Counsel approaches witness.)
19
           (Mr. O'Neill)
                          hid you bring those -- the tapes
   Q.
20
          which contained those tape recordings to Court today?
21
          a: did,
22
          And are those the tapes which you just handed me?-
23
          They are
24
25
```

C	ase 3:75-cr-00026	-F Document 136-4 Filed	104/17/2006 Pa	age 44 of 50		
	Ted Gunderson	n Direc	t Vo	1. 1, p. 94		
1			•	1. 1, p. 34		
2		(DEPENDANTIC PULLDET	16			
3		(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT				
4		MARKED FOR IDENTIFI	CATION.)			
5						
6	<u> </u>	O'Neill) Would you ex		· .		
7	Agent	Gunderson? That's a	package of th	ree (3)		
8	casset	tte tapes bearing a la	bel with some	legend on		
9	the fi	ront. (Counsel hands	same to witne	ss who peruses		
10	same.)					
11	A. I have	e examined it.				
12	Q. Do you	ı know can you iden	tify those th	ree tapes?		
13	A. That's	s an interview with Er	nest Davis.	The date of		
14	the ta	ape is 9-30-80. From	that this	interview,		
	we als	so took a signed state	ment.			
15	Q. And th	nat was the signed sta	tement which	you identified		
16	earlie			-		
17	A. Exact]					
18		-4 -				
19		(DEPENDANTIC EVUTOTO	2 16 - 20			
20		(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT:				
21		MARKED FOR IDENTIFIC	LATION.)			
22				_		
23	*)'Neill) Number eighte				
24	boxes of mini-cassettes, containing nine (9) mini-					
25	casset	tes. Could you ident	ify those, plant	ease?		

Vol. 1, p. 95 Ted Gunderson Direct 1 (Counsel hands same to witness who peruses same.) This is a tape recording of an interview with Helena Α. 3 Stoeckley May 20, 1982 in Clemson, South Carolina. Could you iden --Q. 5 (Interposing) Conducted by me and Mr. Beasley. A. 6 -- identify Exhibit Sixteen (16) which is a single Q. cassette? 8 It's an interview with Helena Stoeckley by me and A. 9 Mr. Beasley October 23, 1980. 10 THE COURT: What's the Exhibit number? 11 THE WITNESS: Sixteen, Your Honor. 12 (Mr. O'Neill) Would you examine Exhibit Number Q. 13 Seventeen (17) and identify that, please? 14 (Counsel hands same to witness who peruses same.) 15 This doesn't have a marking on it, but it says Α. 16 December, 1980; and if it's December, 1980, if it 17 is an interview with Helena Stoeckley, it would have 18 been around December the 4th or 5th. 19 Okay. So, that would be the tape of the session --Q. 20 (Interposing) With Helena Stoeck --A. 21 -- the interview session from which the written Q. 22 statement was derived? 23 Right. A. 24 Take a look at Exhibit Nineteen (19), if you would. Q. 25

24

25

2094

```
Ted Gunderson
1
                                 Direct
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 96
           (Counsel hands same to witness who peruses same.)
2
3
    A.
           It's also a tape dated December, 1980. It may be a
4
           continuation of this other tape. In fact, I think
5
           it's the first part of this other tape. So,
           nineteen (19) and seventeen (17) may be the same
6
           interview, dated December 5, 1980. And, if that's
7
           the case, it's an interview with Helena Stoeckley
8
9
           by Mr. Beasley and me.
   Q.
           And Exhibit Twenty (20)? (Counsel hands same to
10
           witness who peruses same.)
11
           Exhibit Twenty (20) is an interview with Helena
12
           Stoeckley. There's no date on it, but there's a
13
           statement on there re: the placement of the
14
           automobile, which would have been probably December
15
           the 8th, 1980. I'm not sure about that date.
16
           Mr. Gunderson, is that the sum and total of the tape
17
18
           recordings which you have in your possession relative
19
           to your interviews of Helena Stoeckley or Ernest
          Davis?
20
          It is.
21
                 MR. O'NEILL: Your Honor, I would move those
22
          Exhibits Fifteen (15) through Twenty (20) into
23
```

evidence, please. I believe they're fifteen (15)

through twenty (20).

```
Vol. 1, p. 97
   Colloguy
                THE COURT: Very well. They'll be received.
2
                MR. O'NEILL: Your Honor, I have no further
3
          questions of Agent Gunderson.
                MR. MURTAGH: Your Honor, just a housekeeping
5
          matter. What about number fourteen (14)? I
6
          understood the witness to identify it, but is counsel
7
          not offering it?
8
                              Your Honor -- thank you very much.
                MR. O'NEILL:
9
          Counsel is offering it. Thanks for reminding me.
10
                MR. MURTAGH: You're welcome.
11
                THE COURT: Well, I didn't get a fourteen (14).
12
                MR. MURTAGH: I think it's a letter, Your
13
          Honor. Maybe the witness could enlighten us.
14
                MR. O'NEILL: No, we're not offering that one,
15
          Your Honor. I thought it was a tape.
16
                MR. MURTAGH: You're not offering it? I presume
17
          the defense has no objection if the Government offers
18
          it at this time.
19
                THE COURT: Well, it's been authenticated.
20
          That will be up to you whether or not you offer it.
21
                MR. MURTAGH: We do, Your Honor. And I think
22
          the next number would be Government's Number Nineteen.
23
                THE COURT: Well, you're not putting on any
24
          evidence right now.
25
```

```
Colloguy
                                                  Vol. 1, p. 98
                 MR. MURTAGH:
                               Sir?
2
                 THE COURT: You're not putting on any evidence
3
           right now.
                                   We would offer it at a
                 MR. MURTAGH: No.
5
           later time.
6
7
   CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MURTAGH:
9
          Mr. Gunderson, let me ask you, where's your office,
   Q.
10
          please?
11
           Where's my office?
   Α.
12
           Yeah.
13
           I have an office at 1100 Glendon Avenue, Suite 1200,
14
           Los Angeles, 90024.
15
           Okay. Now, let me ask you, Mr. Gunderson, do you
   Q.
16
           occupy that office or have you subleased it?
17
   Α.
           That office is right now vacant. I'm not occupying
18
                I have occupied it in the past.
19
           But it's not your office now?
   Q.
20
           It's available to me for use, but I'm not using it
21
           per se as an office.
22
           Well, where do you operate out of as a private
   Q.
23
           investigator?
24
           I operate out of my home at the present time.
   A.
25
```

```
Vol. 1, p. 99
   Ted Gunderson
                                 Cross
2
           And where is your home, sir? Where do you reside,
3
           the address, please?
   A.
           I have a problem with that question, sir.
   Ú.
           Well --
5
           (Interposing) May I discuss my problem?
6
7
                 MR. MURTAGH: Your Honor, I would ask that the
           Court instruct the witness to answer.
8
                 THE COURT: Well, he has given us his address
9
           in Santa Monica, in California. Well, he said he
10
           lived there. He didn't give the address.
11
                 MR. MURTAGH: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                  It's the
12
           address I'm interested in.
13
                 THE COURT: Well, just give him the address.
14
           Okay. My present residence is 2621 Westwood
   Α.
15
           Boulevard, Los Angeles, 90069, I believe it is.
16
           (Mr. Murtagh) Okay. Mr. Gunderson, do you also
   Q.
17
18
           receive mail at 2201 Wilshire Boulevard in Santa
           Monica?
19
    A.
           2210 --
20
   Q.
           (Interposing)
                          2210.
21
           -- Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 422, Santa Monica,
22
           900 -- 90403.
23
           Okay. And is that a post office box, in effect?
    Q.
24
25
           It's a post office box.
    A.
```

```
Vol. 1, p. 100
   Ted Gunderson
                                  Cross
1
           And is the address for that that you gave to the
2
           post office 737 South 26th Street, Santa Monica?
3
           737? I never gave a 737 South 26th Street.
   A.
           Okay. Whatever. Mr. Gunderson, let me ask you:
   Q.
5
           are you married?
6
           No, I'm single.
   A.
7
           Okay. Have you ever been married?
   Q.
8
   Α.
           I have.
9
           Okay. Are you divorced then?
10
           I am.
   Α.
11
           And when did that occur, sir?
   Q.
12
           The divorce was final October 15th, 1974.
   A.
13
           October 15th, 1974. Okay. And you retired from the
   Q.
14
           FBI in March of 1979?
15
           March 30.
   Α.
16
           March 30. Okay. Now, let me ask you: did you ever
   Q.
17
           have anything to do with the MacDonald case when you
18
           were in the Los Angeles Division of the FBI?
19
           I did not.
   A.
20
           You did not?
   Q.
21
           No.
   A.
22
           Were you there from August of 1974 through January of
   Q.
23
           1975?
24
           I was not.
   A.
25
```