EXHIBIT NO. 2



__ Appropriate

U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D.C., 20535-0001

MICROSCOPIC HAIR COMPARISON ANALYSIS RESULT OF REVIEW				
Date:	August 15, 2013			
То:	Innocence Project			
	Microscopic Hair Comparison Analysis Review Team			
From:	Federal Bureau of Investigation			
	Microscopic Hair Comparison Analysis Review Team Laboratory Division			
FBI File	Number: 70A-CE-3668			
	al Docket Number: 3:75-CR-26-3, 506-CV-24-F lant: MacDonald, Jeffrey Robert			
	MacDonald, Kimberly (Age: 5); MacDonald, Kristen (Age	e: 2); MacDonald, Collette (Age: 26)		
	al Plea Stipulation			
	nscript enclosed b Report enclosed	· ·		
	Pursuant to the Letter of Agreement between our orga			
	e results from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) N			
regardir	ing the analysis of testimony and lab reports provided in	the above-referenced case. Please notify the FBI,		
within 1 conclus	14 days of receipt of this letter, as to whether or not the sions.	Innocence Project (IP) agrees with the FBI's		
The FBI	has conducted its review of the report issued in this cas	e and found it to contain:		
Appr	ropriate Statements	K Inappropriate Statements		
	has conducted its review of the FBI testimony transcription ber 9, 2012 agreed upon scientific standards between the	•		
	or Type 1: The examiner stated or implied that the evidual to the exclusion of all others. This type of testimony			
	r Type 2: The examiner assigned to the positive associated that the questioned hair originated from a particular			
	ss of the positive association that could lead the jury to be			
	croscopic hair association. This type of testimony exceed			
	r Type 3: The examiner cites the number of cases or hai	analyses worked in the lab and the number of samples one another as a predictive value to bolster the conclusion		
	nair belongs to a specific individual. This type of testimo			

This document is the property of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Do not disseminate further without the prior written authorization of the FBI Office of the General Counsel.

03/26/13

Response Sheet

Please send completed form within 14 days to:

Cherise B. Dreyfus FBI Laboratory 2501 Forensic Way Quantico, VA 22135 Fax: 703-632-7714 Email: FBICaseReview2@ic.fbi.gov (please include in the subject line "IP response" and the name of the defendant)
Referenced FBI Case Number: 70A-CE-3668
Court Docket Number: <u>3:75-CR-26-3, 506-CV-24-F</u>
Subject(s)/ Defendant(s): MacDonald, Jeffrey Robert
Findings of the Innocence Project (IP):
The IP concurs with the conclusion reached by the FBI Microscopic Hair Comparison Analysis Review, or
The IP disagrees with the conclusion reached by the FBI Microscopic Hair Comparison Analysis Review for the following reasons:
Error 1
Error 2
Error 3
Appropriate

The IP would like to meet with the FBI (in person or by phone) to discuss the differing

opinions regarding the appropriateness of FBI testimony and/or lab reports.

Response Sheet

Please send completed form within 14 days to:

FBI POC

Comments:

FBI Laboratory Quantico, VA 22135	
Fax: 703-632-7714	
Email: <u>FBICaseReview2@ic.fbi.gov</u> (please include in the sthe name of the defendant)	subject line "IP and NACDL response" and
Referenced FBI Case Number: 70A-CE-3668	
Court Docket Number: 3:75-CR-26-3, 506-CV-24-F	
Subject(s)/Defendant(s): Jeffrey MacDonald	
Findings of the Innocence Project (IP) and National Associ (NACDL):	ciation of Criminal Defense Lawyers
The IP and NACDL concur with the conclusion reached be Analysis Review that the materials reviewed contain no instance.	
X The IP and NACDL concur with the conclusion reached Analysis Review that the materials reviewed contain the following the contain the following the contain the following the contains the conclusion of the	
X_Error 1	
X_Error 2 (lab report)	
Error 3	
The IP and NACDL disagree with the conclusion reached Analysis Review because, contrary to that conclusion, the IP reviewed contain the following error types:	by the FBI Microscopic Hair Comparison and NACDL have found that the materials
_ Error 1	
_ Error 2	
Error 3	

The trial testimony mentions microscopic hair comparison analysis was conducted by the Army CID Lab in Fort Gordon Georgia, but those lab reports or any testimony were not included in these materials.

The following testimony on p. 4158 is unclear and partially obscured in this copy of the transcript due to copying what appears to be highlighting. A: "The conclusion on that was that it contained the tip portion of a limb hair and did not have enough points of comparison to be of value for comparison purposes.A: It was of Caucasian origin, yes."

This document is the property of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Do not disseminate further without the prior written authorization of the FBI Office of the General Counsel.

03/26/13

FBI Microscopic Hair Comparison Analysis Review Evaluation Form

Case Information:					
Case Number: 70A-CE-3668					
Defendant(s): JEFFREY ROBERT MACDONALD					
Date of Review: 8/8/2013					
Standard Applied: MHCA Standards dated 11/9/2012					
Review of Laboratory Report(s):					
Date of Laboratory Report: 11/5/1974					
Examiner Issuing Report: STOMBAUGH					
Lab Report Results (mark as appropriate):					
Positive Association: Yes No					
Inappropriate Statements : Yes No					
Limitations Language					
If Laboratory report contained an Inappropriate Statement, cite statement by Error type(s) and page number(s) (quote if					
necessary):					

Review of Laboratory Report(s):					
Date of Laboratory Report: 12/31/1990					
Examiner Issuing Report: MALONE					
Lab Report Results (mark as appropriate):					
Positive Association: Yes No					
Inappropriate Statements:					
Limitations Language Included in Report? Yes (page #) No					
If Laboratory report contained an Inappropriate Statement, cite statement by Error type(s) and page number(s) (quote if					
necessary):					
necessary).					

Review of Laboratory Report(s):					
Date of Laboratory Report: 2/4/1991					
Examiner Issuing Report: MALONE					
Lab Report Results (mark as appropriate):					
Positive Association: X Yes No					
Inappropriate Statements: No No					
Limitations Language					
included in Report?					
If Laboratory report contained an Inappropriate Statement, cite statement by Error type(s) and page number(s) (quote if					
necessary):					
Error 2: Page 2 - "consistent with having originated from Jeffrey MacDonald."					

FBI Microscopic Hair Comparison Analysis Review Evaluation Form

Defendant: Jeffrey Robert	MacDonald Case File Number: 70-51728
Review of Laboratory Repo	ort(s):
Date of Laboratory Report:	5/19/1999
Examiner Issuing Report:	FRAM
Lab Report Results (mark as	appropriate):
Positive Association:	
Inappropriate Statements:	Yes No
Limitations Language Included in Report?	Yes (page #) TEU 1 🛛 No
If Laboratory report contained necessary):	d an Inappropriate Statement, cite statement by Error type(s) and page number(s) (quote if
- ·	consistent with having originated from KIMBERLY MACDONALD."
***************************************	***************************************
************	***************************************
Review of Testimony:	
Date of Testimony: A	ugust 7-9, 1979
	ГROMBAUGH
	LACKBURN
Name of Defense: Si	EGAL
Testimony Results (mark as a	ppropriate):
Inappropriate Statements :	∑ Yes □ No
Limitating Language	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Included in Testimony?	
Identify by Page and	
Line Number(s):	
Francis In Programmed Inappr	opriate Statements, cite each by Error type, page(s) and line number(s):
Error 1: Page 4294, In 1-6	
*************************	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××

Approved By: Muc	Date: 08/09/2013